1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ERIC LOCKHART, No. C 08-2935 JSW (PR) 12 Petitioner, ORDER LIFTING STAY; GRANTING VE TO FILE AMENDED 13 TION AND MOTIONS; TO SHOW VS. CAUSE REGARDING NEW CLAIM: 14 TONY HEDGPETH, Warden, INSTRUCTIONS TO CLERK 15 Respondent. 16 (Docket Nos. 24-27) 17 Petitioner, a prisoner of the State of California, has filed a habeas corpus petition 18 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Respondent was ordered to show cause why the petition, 19 setting forth eleven claims, should not be granted. Respondent filed an answer to the 20 petition, and petitioner filed a traverse. Petitioner was then granted a stay in order to 21 exhaust his additional claims in federal court. 22 He has filed a motion to lift the stay, explaining that his new claim has now been 23 exhausted, and a motion for leave to file an amended petition that includes his newly-24 exhausted claim. Good cause appearing, the motion to lift the stay and the motion for 25 leave to file an amended petition are GRANTED. (Docket Nos. 26 and 27.) The stay is 26 LIFTED. The motion for an extension of time in which to file the motion to lift the stay is 27 also GRANTED. (Docket No. 24.) The motion for relief from judgment is DENIED as 28

unnecessary because there has been no judgment in this case. (Docket No. 25.)

Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner, within **sixty** (**60**) days of the issuance of this order, a supplemental answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be granted based on Petitioner's newly exhausted claim – the twelfth claim in the amended petition. Respondent shall file with the supplemental answer and serve on Petitioner a copy of any new portion of the state trial record that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the amended petition. If Petitioner wishes to respond to the supplemental answer, he shall do so by filing a supplemental traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within **thirty** (**30**) days of the date the answer is filed.

Alternatively, Respondent may file a motion to dismiss the newly-exhausted claim on procedural grounds. If Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on Respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition within **thirty** (30) days of the date the motion is filed, and Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner a reply within **fifteen** (15) days the date the opposition is filed.

The Clerk shall lift the stay, file the amended complaint, and administratively reopen the file.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 9, 2012

DATED. April 9, 2012

United States District Judge

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	ERIC LOCKHART, Case Number: CV08-02935 JSW
7	Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
8	V.
9	TONY HEDGPETH et al,
10	Defendant.
11	
12	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.
13	That on April 9, 2012, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing
said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy	said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.
15	receptacle located in the clerk's office.
16	
17	Eric Lockhart V-17246
18	O. Box 290066 epresa, CA 95671-0066
19	Dated: April 9, 2012 Kichard W. Wieking, Clerk
20	
21	By: Jennifer Ottolini, Deputy Clerk
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	